

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE 33<sup>rd</sup> MEETING OF STATE EIA AUTHORITY, ORISSA HELD ON 02<sup>nd</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2010

The 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the State EIA Authority, Orissa was held on 02.09.2010 in the office chamber of the Chairman of State EIA Authority, Orissa, Bhubaneswar at 04.00 PM. Dr. C.R Das, Chairman State EIA Authority chaired the meeting. The following members were present in the meeting.

### Members Present

- |   |                    |
|---|--------------------|
| 1. Dr. C.R. Das   | - Chairman         |
| 2. Er. P.C. Rath  | - Member           |
| 3. Shri Bhagirathi Behera, IFS<br>Director, Env. - cum-Spl. Secy. | - Member Secretary |

The following issues were discussed and the decisions were taken.

1. Minute of the previous meeting was confirmed.

### 2. Agenda Item No-1

The following 4(four) proposals have been received by the SEIAA. The decision taken by SEIAA against each is indicated below.

| Sl. No. | Sl. No. of Proposal | Name of the Project  | Name of Proponent  | Whether details provided are ok for sending it to SEAC (See check list) | Decision taken by SEIAA           |
|---------|---------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1.      | 133                 | Proposal for EC for production of 12000 TPA of Manganese ore over an area 21.347 ha at Katasahi & Kolha Rudukela Iron & Manganese deposit. | Dr. Ram Singh C/o. Rohtak Engineering Works. At/Po. Barbil, Main Road Near SBI, Dist. Keonjhar | Yes   | May be sent to SEAC for appraisal |
| 2.      | 136                 | Proposal for EC for construction of residential-cum-commercial apartment building at Pahal   | M/s. HRG Finance and Investment Consultants (P) Ltd. , Virayatan 777 Saheed nagar Bhubaneswar  | Yes   | May be sent to SEAC for appraisal |
| 3.      | 137                 | Proposal for EC for Jagmguda Minor Irrigation Project in the district of Koraput of the Executive Engineer, MI Division, Rayagada          | Executive Engineer Minor Irrigation Division Rayagada  | Yes   | May be sent to SEAC for appraisal |
| 4.      | 138                 | Proposal for EC for renewal of enhancement of production up to 35000 TPA of Iron ore and 35000   | Srinibash Sahoo M/s. Tarini Minerals 380 Bomikhal Near Durga Mandap                            | Yes   | May be sent to SEAC for appraisal |

|  |  |  |             |  |  |
|--|--|--|-------------|--|--|
|  |  | TPA of Manganese ore over an area 29.076 ha for Teherai –Sonua Manganese Mines in the district of Sundargarh | Bhubaneswar |  |  |
|--|--|--|-------------|--|--|

### 3. Agenda Item No-2

The following 2 (two) proposals have been received back from SEAC with recommendations as indicated against each of the proposal were discussed and following decision taken by SEIAA against each is indicated below.

| Sl. No. | Sl. No. of Proposal | Name of the project  | Name of the Proponent   | Recommendations of SEAC   | Decision taken by SEIAA   |
|---------|---------------------|--|---|---|---|
| 1.      | 109                 | Proposal for EC for construction of academic cum residential township at Jatni of M/s. National Institute of Science Education and Research(NISER) , Bhubaneswar | Dr. A.K. Naik Registrar, NISER Institute of Physics Bhubaneswar - 751005          | SEAC recommended for EC   | E.C. be granted   |
| 2.      | 67                  | Proposal for EC for chrome ore beneficiation plant 12000 MTPA at Biltarani in the district of Cuttack  | M/s. Kamyab Exports Pvt. Ltd. Plot No. N-1/243, IRC Village, Bhubaneswar - 751015 | SEAC decided to reject for proposed location. The proponent should apply afresh relocating the area the proposed project for further consideration. The SEAC has also intimated the proponent vide his letter no. 167 dt. 24.11.09. | The rejection (as recommended by SEAC) be communicated to the proponent. He may also be asked to apply afresh relocating the area with scrutiny fees. The SEAC letter be properly placed in the file. |

### 4. Agenda Item No-3

Final EIA & EMP reports against the following proposal for which SEAC had issued TOR has been received by SEIAA. The proposal was discussed and following decision was taken.

| Sl. No. | Sl. No. of Proposal | Name of the project  | Name of the Proponent  | Present position (See check list)   | Decision taken by SEIAA                          |
|---------|---------------------|--|--|---|--|
| 1.      | 25                  | Proposal for EC for Budharaja Iron & Manganese ore mining Badampahad in the district of Mayurbhanj | Sri Jay Kishore Choubey Budharaja Iron & Manganese ore Mines At/Po Rairangpur Dist. Mayurbhanj | The EIA & EMP reports have been submitted in accordance with the TOR issued by SEAC. These reports need to be sent to SEAC for scrutiny & further action. | EIA & EMP reports be sent to SEAC for appraisal. |

## 5. Agenda Item No-4

The following 2 (two) proposals were sent to MOEF, Govt. of India by the proponent prior to the functioning of the SEIAA, Orissa at Bhubaneswar. Subsequent to the functioning of SEIAA in the State (Orissa), the proponents submitted the EIA & EMP reports along with Form-1 to the SEIAA for grant of EC. On receipt of these documents from the project proponents. SEIAA requested to MOEF, Govt. of India for the concerned documents submitted to them earlier and accordingly MOEF, Govt. of India transferred the documents along with MOEF file in respect of M/s ESSAR POWER Orissa Ltd. and in respect of Oraghat Iron & Manganese mines the proposal which were sent MOEF, Govt. of India directly by the proponent still pending with the MOEF. These 2 (two) proposals were discussed and the following decisions were taken against each is indicated below.

| Sl. No. | Sl. No. of Proposal | Name of the project  | Name of the Proponent                                       | Present position (See check list)  | Decision taken by SEIAA  |
|---------|---------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 1       | 135                 | Proposal for EC for Oraghat Iron & Manganese ore mines over an area 25.847 ha at Vill- Oraghat in the district of Sundargarh | Kamala Kanta Samal<br>At/Po. Barbil<br>Keonjhar -<br>758035 | The proposal had been submitted by the proponent to the Govt. of India which has yet not been returned to the State EIA Authority. The Govt. of India will be requested for the same   | Request MOEF, to send the concerned file to SEIAA.   |
| 2       | 134                 | 60 MW (2x30) coal based captive thermal power plant at Vijay Chandrapur, Paradeep in the district of Jagatsinghpur           | M/s. ESSAR Power Orissa Ltd.                                | The proposal submitted by the proponent to MoEF is for Phase – I where as the proposal submitted with SEIAA is for phase – II. The phase – II had been sent to SEAC for appraisal based on which the SEAC has prescribed ToR for undertaking EIA study & the EMP for the phase – II.<br>Hence there is confusion on whether the scrutiny fee is submitted for the phase – I or phase – II. | Intimate proponent to write to SEIAA for which phase the scrutiny fee is deposited. If it is for the phase-1 the proposal be sent to SEAC for appraisal. |

## 6. Agenda Item No-5

The following 4 (four) housing /hospital proposals were received by the SEIAA earlier. These proposals were sent to SEAC for appraisal. The SEAC visited the site, appraised the proposals and returned the proposals at Sl. No. Vipul Limited, ASSOTECH BEBL Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. and Utkal Builders. The proposal Sl. No AMRI Hospital is yet to be received back from the SEAC. The proceedings of SEAC in case of all four proposals indicated that works have been started in all cases without obtaining prior EC. Accordingly the proponents were directed to explain the circumstances under which the construction works were continuing without EC from SEIAA and to stop work immediately till EC is finally granted. The recommendation of the SEAC towards EC against each is indicated below. In the mean time, the explanations/clarifications from the

proponents have also been received. The proposals were discussed and following decision taken.

| Sl. No | Sl. No. of Proposal | Name of the project   | Name of the Proponent  | Recommendations of SEAC            | Decision taken by SEIAA  |
|--------|---------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|
| 1      | 52                  | Construction of group housing project "Vipul Gardens" at Mauza Shankarpur, Bhubaneswar of M/s Vipul Ltd.                                | M/s Vipul Ltd. PGL City Mart 2 <sup>nd</sup> floor Fire Station Square Baramunda Bhubaneswar . | SEAC recommended for grant of E.C. | The Govt. of Orissa in F & E Dept. be intimated to (a) ensure stoppage of work pending sanction of EC from SEIAA and (b) necessary action against the proponent for violation of the EP Act. Besides, the proponent also be directed not to proceed with the work till a decision in the matter is taken and communicated to them from SEIAA. Copies be marked to H & UD Dept. /BDA/ Director Town Planning, Orissa for information and n/a. |
| 2      | 21                  | Construction of a group housing project "The Cosmopolis" at Dumduma, Bhubaneswar, Odisha of M/s ASSOTECH BEBL Infra Structure Pvt. Ltd. | M/s ASSOTECH BEBL Infra Structure Pvt. Ltd. A-354, Sector-19, Noida-201301                     | SEAC recommended for grant of EC.  | The Govt. of Orissa in F & E Dept. be intimated to (a) ensure stoppage of work pending sanction of EC from SEIAA and (b) necessary action against the proponent for violation of the EP Act. Besides, the proponent also be directed not to proceed with the work till a decision in the matter is taken and communicated to them from SEIAA. Copies be marked to H & UD Dept. /BDA/ Director Town Planning, Orissa for information and n/a. |
| 3      | 82                  | E.C for construction of Residential Market Complex at Gautam Nagar BBSR.  | M/s Utkal Builders Ltd. 777, Saheed Nagar BBSR,  | SEAC recommended for rejection     | The Govt. of Orissa in F & E Dept. be intimated to (a) ensure stoppage of work pending sanction of EC from SEIAA and (b) necessary action against the proponent for violation of the EP Act. Besides, the proponent also be directed not to proceed with the work till a decision in the matter is taken and   |

|    |    |   |   |   |   |
|----|----|---|---|---|---|
|    |    |   |   |   | communicated to them from SEIAA. Copies be marked to H & UD Dept. /BDA/ Director Town Planning, Orissa for information and n/a.   |
| 4. | 5. | Proposal for EC for construction of a multi specialty hospital building "AMRI" hospital housing built up area of 37,458 sqmt at B/13-14 Plot No-1 (PT) Khandagiri of M/s Emami Group. | M/s AMRI Hospitals Block-A, Garihat Road, (Beside Dlakuria Bridge) Kolkata-700029 | SEAC has not recommended the proposal till now. | The response of the proponent on built-up area of the hospital is confusing. The proponent be asked (a) to clarify on the built up area with submission of necessary documents and drawings in support of the same and also (b) to furnish a list of hazardous medical wastes that are likely to be generated by their hospital. Besides, the proponent be also directed not to proceed with the work till a decision on the matter is taken and communicated to them by SEIAA. |

7. Further the Orissa State Housing Board / all Development Authorities and Regional Improvement Trusts in the State be intimated to ensure prior Environmental Clearance from the SEIAA for all such projects under their jurisdictions coming under the EP Act, 1986.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

**Member Secretary**

**Member**

**Chairman**