

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 36th MEETING OF STATE EIA AUTHORITY, ORISSA HELD ON 29th OCTOBER, 2010

The 36th meeting of the State EIA Authority, Orissa was held on 29.10.2010 in the office chamber of the Chairman of State EIA Authority, Orissa, Bhubaneswar between 11.00 AM to 4.30 PM. Dr. C.R Das, Chairman chaired the meeting. The following members were present.

Members Present

- | | |
|---|---------------------------|
| 1. Dr. C.R. Das | - Chairman, SEIAA |
| 2. Er. P.C. Rath | - Member, SEIAA |
| 3. Shri Bhagirathi Behera, IFS
Director, Env. - cum-Spl. Secy. | - Member Secretary, SEIAA |

The following issues were discussed and decisions taken as under.

1. Minutes of the previous meeting was confirmed.

2. Agenda Item No-1

The following proposal received by the SEIAA was discussed and decisions taken as under.

Sl. No	Propo sal No.	Name of Project	Name of the Proponent	Whether details provided are ok for sending it to SEAC (See check list)	Decision taken by SEIAA
1	195	Proposal for E.C for development of Chudamani Port of 3.0 MTPA capacity near village Bideipur, Basudevpur, taluka in the district of Bhadrak	ESSEL mining and Industries Ltd. 525, Saheed Nagar, Bhubaneswar	Yes	The proposal be sent to SEAC along with correspondence between the SEIAA & the proponent for appraisal.

3. Agenda Item No-2

The following 3 (three) proposals received by the SEIAA were discussed and decisions taken against each is indicated below.

Sl. No.	Proposal No.	Name of the project	Name of the Proponent	Whether details provided are ok for sending it to SEAC (See check list)	Decision taken by SEIAA
1	215	Proposals for EC for renewal of mining lease for production of 0.3 million TPA of Iron ore and 12000 TPA of Manganese ore of Khajurdih Baldih iron & manganese	M/s. Matadin Sharda At/Po. Barajamda Dist. Singhbhum West – 833221, Jharkhand	Originally the proposal was submitted directly to MOEF, Govt. of India by the proponent. TOR has been prescribed in his favour by MOEF, Govt. of India on 19.05.08. The proponent is to be submitted EIA & EMP report but now the proponent submitted the modified	Be sent to SEAC for appraisal. The SEAC may specifically look into whether the old TOR is at all applicable for this new proposal or a new / fresh TOR needs to be issued.

		ore mines of Matadin Sharda in the district of Sundargarh		proposal enhancing the production of iron ore and manganese ore as per mining plan approved by IBM. The project document would be obtained from MOEF, Govt. of India.	
2	216	Proposal for EC for construction of a commercial building project "Down Town" of M/s Unitech Ltd. at Mauza - Gadakana Unit-39, Bhubaneswar in the district of Khordha.	M/s. Unitech Ltd. N. Dutt Gupta, Unitech House, South City- I Gurgaon 122001,	Yes	Be sent to SEAC for appraisal. The supply of water by BDA as indicated by the proponent and the treatment and disposal sewage need to be specifically looked into along with SWM.
3	217	Proposal for EC for production of 53,235 TPA of manganese ore at Paredipada iron and manganese mines of Sri K.C Pradhan in the district of Keonjhar (Renewal)	K.C Pradhan , Plot No-1262, Road-8, Unit-9, Bhubaneswar	Yes	Be sent to SEAC

4. Agenda Item No- 3

The following 3 (three) proposals were earlier sent to MOEF, Govt. of India directly by the proponent prior to the functioning of the SEIAA at Bhubaneswar. Subsequent to the functioning of SEIAA in the State, the MOEF, Govt. of India have returned the proposals to the State EIA Authority for disposal. The proponents have submitted the final EIA and EMP report along with modified Form-1 of application to the State EIA Authority. The proposals were discussed and following decisions were taken by SEIAA.

Sl. No.	Proposal No.	Name of the project	Name of the Proponent	Present position (See check list)	Decision taken by SEIAA
1	09	Proposal for EC for production of 1,00,000 TPA of manganese ore over an area 40.469 ha. at Bhutuda manganese mines of M/s AXL Exploration Pvt. Ltd. in the district of Sundargarh.	M/s AXL Exploration Pvt. Ltd., HIG-17, BDA, Colony Jaydev vihar, Bhubaneswar	Originally the proposal was submitted to MOEF, Govt. of India by the proponent and the MOEF Govt. of India have sent back the proposal to the State EIA Authority and the same was sent to SEAC and TOR has been prescribed by SEAC in favour of the project. Now the proponent has furnished the final EIA & EMP report.	EIA & EMP be sent to SEAC for their further appraisal

2	218	Proposal for EC for enhancement of production of iron ore 80,000 TPA with crushing & screening unit of 120 TPH capacity over an area 31.693 ha. for Chamakpur iron ore mines of Sri K.C Pradhan in the district of Keonjhar.	K.C Pradhan , Plot No-1262, Road-8, Unit-9, Bhubaneswar	Originally the proposal was submitted by the proponent to MOEF, Govt. of India & the MOEF Govt. of India have prescribed TOR on 18.07.08 and Public Hearing has been completed. Now the proponent has submitted final EIA & EMP report for appraisal the same. Since the original file is with MOEF, Govt. of India they may be requested to furnish the same	MOEF, Govt. of India be requested to sent the file for further action.
3	219	Proposal for EC for expansion of iron ore production from 0.93 LTPA to 2.04 LTPA and manganese ore up to 0.12 LTPA over an area 12.942 ha. for Nuagaon iron & manganese mines of Sri Shiv Dutt Sharma in the district of Sundargarh.	S.D Sharma, Nuagaon iron & manganese mines, At/Po- Barbil, Dist- Keonjhar.	Originally the proposal was submitted by the proponent to MOEF, Govt. of India which has yet not been returned to the State EIA Authority. The TOR has been prescribed by the MOEF, Govt. of India on 25.06.2008. The proponent has submitted the final EIA & EMP report. The MOEF, Govt. of India will be requested to furnish the project of the proponent to this State EIA Authority. Further the proponent is to be submitted modified Form 1& scrutiny fees for the project.	Request proponent to submit Form-1 and scrutiny fee for consideration of proposal by SEIAA also request MOEF for furnishing the project with file for further action by SEIAA after receipt of Form-1 and scrutiny fees from proponent

5. Agenda Item No- 4

Consideration of a Multi Specialty Hospital Project, AMRI (Proposal No-5)

In this case, the proponent has been requested to clarify on the actual built up area, to furnish necessary documents and drawings of the building, and to furnish a list of hazardous wastes likely to be generated after commencement of the project. In response, the proponent has furnished only part information of proposed hospital. They have furnished a list of hazardous medical waste to be generated. It was discussed in the meeting and decided to remind the proponent again to furnish the balance information clearly indicating that if the clarification called for is not responded to fully within 15 days of issue of this letter, it will be presumed that the proponent is no more interested in the proposal and accordingly the project will be closed without further intimation.

6. Agenda Item No- 5

Proposal for Aherajore Barrage Project at Debdhara in Lakhanpur block of Jharsuguda district (Proposal No-128)

In the meeting of the SEAC held on 20th & 21st October 2010, the committee observed that the proposed location is likely to come under critically polluted area, namely the lb valley area. The MOEF, Govt. of India has put restrictions on consideration of Environmental Clearance of projects in critically polluted areas up to 31st October 2010. Category 'B' projects located within the critically polluted area are to be treated as category 'A' project as per EIA notification of Govt. of India, which are to be resolved by the CPCB vide MOEF, Govt. of India notification dated 15th March 2010. Though MOEF Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986 amended in 2009 under 1/c states that irrigation projects not involving submergence or inter-state domain shall be appraised by SEIAA as category 'B' projects, This project of public interest without any pollution potential is located within the critically polluted area. It is therefore no clear whether SEIAA is competent to decide in this matter as per MOEF Notification No. J-11013/5/2010-1A.II (I), dated January 13, 2010.

It was therefore decided that a clarification be called for from the MOEF, Govt. of India in this regard.

7. Agenda Item No. 6

The following proposals have very recently been received as per dates indicated against each, which may be placed before the next SEIAA meeting after preliminary scrutiny.

Sl. No.	Sl. No. of Proposal	Name of the project	Name of the Proponent	Date of received in SEIAA	Decision taken by SEIAA
1.	220	Proposal for EC for expansion of Chromite ore beneficiation unit at village Pimplimal in the district of Jharsuguda of M/s Bell Mountain Company.	Mrs. Renu Agarwal, M/s Bell Mountain Company, At- Kudaloi, Po- Belpahar, Dist- Jharsuguda	26.10.10	Be placed in the next meeting
2.	221	Proposal for E.C for proposed (175+3X27) MW captive power plant at Meramandali in the district of Dhenkanal of M/s Bhusan Steel Ltd.	M/s Bhusan Steel Ltd. 41- HIG, BDA, Jaydev Vihar, Bhubaneswar-751013	26.10.10	Be placed in the next meeting

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

Member Secretary, SEIAA

Member, SEIAA

Chairman, SEIAA